

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DR. MANHUA MANDY LIN,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-CV-3612
	:	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2004, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of the Order Denying Reconsideration of the Order Granting Summary Judgment as to Counts II and III of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, and Defendant's response in opposition, it is hereby ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED with prejudice, and it is further ORDERED that Plaintiff may not file a motion for reconsideration of this Order.

---

J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DR. MANHUA MANDY LIN,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-CV-3612
	:	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION  
OF THE ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO  
COUNTS II AND III OF PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

Dissatisfied with the May 5, 2004 Order denying her first motion for reconsideration, plaintiff has abused Local Rule 7.1(g) by filing a second motion for reconsideration. In the instant motion, plaintiff does not argue that there is new, intervening law; does not argue that this Court misinterpreted or misapplied the controlling law on adverse employment action; and does not argue that there is new evidence for the Court to consider. In order words, plaintiff presents no basis for reconsideration. Plaintiff's second motion for reconsideration, which presents nothing new, should accordingly be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Raymond A. Kresge (rak1654)

RAYMOND A. KRESGE  
ANDREW J. ROLFES  
Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling  
Two Logan Square, 12th Floor  
18th & Arch Streets  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2756  
(215) 567-7500

Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of June 2004, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant's Response In Opposition To Plaintiff's Motion For Reconsideration Of The Order Denying Reconsideration Of The Order Granting Summary Judgment As To Counts II And III Of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint by U.S. mail, postage prepaid on plaintiff's counsel:

Hugh J. Hutchison, Esquire  
Leonard, Tillery & Sciolla, LLP  
1515 Market Street  
Suite 1800  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

/s/ Raymond A. Kresge (rak 1654)  
RAYMOND A. KRESGE